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#### Abstract

M_{r}=274 \cdot 3\), monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{1} / n, \quad a=$ 9.593 (4), $\quad b=9.213$ (5), $\quad c=13.745$ (6) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $107.89(2)^{\circ}, \quad Z=4, \quad V=1156.0 \AA^{3}, \quad F(000)=568$, $D_{x}=1.576 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, Mo radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA, \mu$ $=0.285 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, R=0.035, R_{w}=0.034$ for 1773 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ out of 2028 independent measurements at room temperature. The molecule consists of a slightly warped ring skeleton, in which the thiazole ring approaches an envelope form, the pyrimido ring a twist-boat and the benzo ring a true-boat conformation. The variations of bond lengths and angles reflect the conflicting angular demands placed upon the system by the individual components.


Introduction. Reaction of dimethyl 2,3-pentadienedioate (I) with 2 -amino-1,3-benzothiazole (II) following a procedure outlined by Chan, Ma \& Mak (1977) results in the title compound (III) m.p. 496-498 K. Although each separate ring in (III) is rather rigid the fusion brings together a large number of conflicting demands, particularly in the valence angles. The X-ray analysis was undertaken to investigate the response of the molecule to these demands. The results should confirm and extend the observations of Chan, Ma \& Mak (1977) who found a slight warping of the ring system in the similar methyl $2(2 H)$ oxopyrimido $[2,1-b][1,3]$ benzothiazole-4-carboxylate.



Experimental. Suitable single crystals obtained by recrystallization from methanol/dimethylformamide. Unit-cell dimensions deduced from 25 high-order
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reflections. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, $\omega / \theta$ scan, Mo radiation monochromatized by pyrolitic graphite. Max, Bragg angle $25^{\circ} .2028$ independent measurements, 1773 considered observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$. $0 \leq h \leq 11, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 10, \quad-16 \leq l \leq 15$. Intensity control showed no drift. Space group inferred from systematic extinctions ( $0 k 0$ with $k=2 n+1, h 0 l$ with $h+l=2 n+1$ ) (equivalent positions: $x, y, z ; \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y$, $\left.\frac{1}{2}+z ;-x,-y,-z ; \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z\right)$. No absorption correction in view of the size of the crystal $(0.2 \times$ $0.2 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and the low value of $\mu$. Using MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971), the most likely $E$ map with 251 terms showed all non-hydrogen atoms. H -atom positions found subsequently from a difference electron density map. Leastsquares refinement (on $F$ s) of all positional parameters, but with the Debye-Waller temperature factor for H atoms fixed at $4 \AA^{2}$ (overall $B$ from Wilson plot $3 \AA^{2}$ ). Reflections given individual weights based on counting statistics. Convergence reached at $R=0.045, R_{w}$ $=0.065$. From the $F_{o} / F_{c}$ listing it was clear that extinction could not be neglected; refinement of the extinction parameter to $2.55 \times 10^{-6}$ (Zachariasen, 1963) resulted in $R=0.035$ and $R_{r .}=0.034$. $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.05$. Max. noise level in final difference Fourier map $0.1 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3}$. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Enraf-Nonius SDP computer programs (Frenz, 1978) were employed.


Fig. 1. Structural formula, conformation and atomic numbering scheme of the title compound.
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Table 1. Positional parameters in fractions of the cell edges and isotropic thermal parameters

| temperature parameters assuming equal volume of the $50 \%$ probability region according to Lipson \& Cochran (1968): |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{\text {iso }}-8 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11}^{o} U_{22}^{o} U_{33}^{o}\right)^{1 / 3}$. All anisotropic thermal parameters were physically acceptable. |  |  |  |  |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {iso }}$ |
| N(1) | -0.1215 (2) | 0.0585 (2) | -0.2258 (1) | 2.59 |
| C(2) | -0.1811 (2) | -0.0721 (2) | -0.2664 (1) | $2 \cdot 68$ |
| C(3) | -0.1098 (2) | -0.2022 (2) | -0.2154 (1) | $2 \cdot 57$ |
| C(4) | 0.0169 (2) | -0.1991 (2) | -0.1386 (1) | $2 \cdot 16$ |
| N(5) | 0.0782 (2) | -0.0637 (2) | -0.1044 (1) | 2.09 |
| C (5a) | 0.2092 (2) | -0.0264 (2) | -0.0250 (1) | $2 \cdot 11$ |
| C(6) | 0.3187 (2) | -0.1147 (2) | 0.0338 (2) | $2 \cdot 85$ |
| C(7) | 0.4356 (2) | -0.0519 (2) | 0.1067 (2) | 3.02 |
| C(8) | 0.4436 (2) | 0.0949 (3) | 0.1239 (2) | 3.11 |
| C(9) | 0.3351 (2) | $0 \cdot 1855$ (2) | 0.0660 (2) | 3.08 |
| C(9a) | 0.2199 (2) | 0.1234 (2) | -0.0099 (1) | $2 \cdot 50$ |
| S(10) | 0.07582 (6) | 0.21770 (6) | -0.09319 (4) | 2.91 |
| C(10a) | -0.0022 (2) | 0.0569 (2) | -0.1495 (1) | $2 \cdot 30$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -0.2894 (2) | -0.0748 (2) | -0.3431 (1) | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| C(11) | 0.0889 (2) | -0.3378 (2) | -0.0925 (1) | 2.44 |
| C(12) | 0.2117 (2) | -0.3798 (2) | -0.1335 (1) | 2.27 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.2416 (2) | -0.3209 (2) | -0.2015 (1) | $3 \cdot 70$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.2824 (1) | -0.4956 (2) | -0.0845 (1) | 3.04 |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 3926$ (2) | -0.5547 (3) | -0.1245 (2) | 3.72 |

The e.s.d.'s given in parentheses refer to the last digit. Isotropic emperature parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ ) are calculated from anisotropic temperature parameters assuming equal volume of the $50 \%$ probability region according to Lipson \& Cochran (1968): $B_{\text {iso }}-8 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11}^{o} U_{22}^{o} U_{33}^{o}\right)^{1 / 3}$. All anisotropic thermal parameters wcre physically acceptable.

Discussion. Refined atomic coordinates are listed in Table 1,* with the numbering scheme of the atoms presented in Fig. 1. Table 2 gives interatomic distances and angles both of the title compound and of the corresponding 4 -carboxylate (Chan, Ma \& Mak, 1977). The comparison reveals an excellent agreement, better than could be expected in view of the carboxylate structure being solved from photographic data to $R=0.099$. Apart from a few differences in the ester groupings, the only significant discrepancy is that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds in the title compound have equal lengths, whereas they were observed to be different in the 4 -carboxylate. Nevertheless, in both compounds the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds have values intermediate between those accepted for a single ( $1.808 \AA$ ) and a double bond ( $1.556 \AA$ ) proving that the $\mathbf{S}$ lone pairs participate in the electronic bonding scheme. The bond $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)$ has a length $(1.294 \AA)$ characteristic of CN double bonds. In contrast, other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonded distances are intermediate between single and double CN bonds. The value of $1.431 \AA$ for $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ is somewhat special. It is elongated because of the angular strain introduced in the molecule by bringing together conflicting angular demands. The 'quinonoid' character of the pyrimido ring is clearly seen from the short $C(10 a)-N(1)$ and $C(3)-C(4)$ bonds as well as from the distribution of valence angles

[^1]Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and valence angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of the title compound and the corresponding 4 -carboxylate
(Chan et al., 1977), with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | This Chan et al. work (1977) |  | This Chan et al. work (1977) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.375 (1) 1.348 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.380(1) 1.373 (8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.293 (1) 1.294 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.370 (1) 1.388 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.449 (1) 1.475 (9) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.380 (1) 1.375 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)=\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.233 (1) 1-230 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.389(1) 1.391 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.343 (1) 1.344 (8) | $C(9 a)-S(10)$ | 1.733 (1) 1.753 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 1.397(1) 1.380 (6) | $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.732 (1) 1.737 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.498 (1) 1.514 | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.505 (1) - |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.431 (1) 1.434 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(12)=\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 190$ (1) 1.187 (7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.386 (1) 1.387 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.330 (1) 1.332 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.378 (1) 1.377(7) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.441 (1) 1.474 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.394 (1) 1.408(8) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 118.2 (1) 118.5 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 121.9(1) 121.9 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 116.9 (1) 116.8 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 120.3 (1) 120.8 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 120.1 (1) 121.6 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ | 118.0 (1) 117.7 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 123.1(1) 121.6 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $121.7(1) 121.3$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 122.8 (1) 121.0 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 113.0 (1) 112.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 117.9 (1) 119.7 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 125.4 (1) 126.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.2 (1) 119.5 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 90.8 (1) 91.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 121.9 (1) 119.6 (4) | $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 112.4 (1) 111.8 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 116.5 (1) 116.6 (4) | $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 120.4 (1) 121.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | 130.7 (1) 129.4 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 127.2 (1) 127.1 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ | 112.7 (1) 113.9 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $111.9(1)$ - |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ | 110.0 (1) 110.6 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 125.6 (1) 122.6 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 129.7 (1) 129.4 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 110.6(1) 111.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ | 119.3(1) 119.9(5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 123.8 (1) 126.0 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 118.7(1) 118.3(5) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 115.4 (1) 114.9 (5) |

Table 3. Endocyclic torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Cremer \& Pople (1975) ring-puckering parameters, e.s.d.'s on the latter calculated according to Norrestam (1981)

| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a}) 0.3$ (2) | $Q=0.021$ (3) $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5) \quad 1.2(2)$ | $\varphi=257(8)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-2.4$ (2) | Numbering sequence: |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}) \quad 2.6$ (2) | $\mathrm{S}(10), \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{N}(5), \mathrm{C}(5 a), \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(10)-1.7(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 4.0$ (2) | $q_{2}=0.068(4), q_{3}=-0.003(4) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad-6.7(2)$ | $Q=0.068$ (4) $\AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5) \quad 2.7(2)$ | $\theta=93$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a}) \quad 3.4$ (2) | $\varphi=260(3)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)-6.4(2)$ | Numbering sequence: |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 2.4$ (2) | $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{N}(5), \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a})$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \quad 2.9$ (2) | $q_{2}=0.027$ (4), $q_{3}=-0.005$ (4) $\AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)-2.8$ (2) | $Q=0.028$ (4) $\AA$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7) \quad 0.4$ (2) | $\theta=100(8)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad 1.8(2)$ | $\varphi=237(9)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad-1.7(2)$ | Numbering sequence: |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}) \quad-0.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(8)$ |

in this ring. These observations confirm the conclusions drawn by Chan, Ma \& Mak (1977).

Furthermore, we note a slightly elongated $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-$ $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ bond and a distinct warping of all rings, again resulting from the angular strain in the polycyclic system. Endocyclic torsion angles, presented in Table 3, show small but significant deviations from planarity, while Cremer \& Pople (1975) parameters indicate the shape adopted by each individual ring. The central thiazole ring is near to an envelope conformation with the (pseudo-)mirror plane passing through $\mathrm{N}(5)$ and the middle of the $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}$ bond. In the notation of Boeyens (1978), the pyrimido ring approaches a ${ }^{2} T_{6}$ form, i.e. a
twist-boat conformation with a (pseudo-)twofold axis through $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$, while the benzo ring is near to a ${ }^{2,5} B$ form, i.e. a true-boat conformation with $\mathrm{C}(7)$ and $C(9 a)$ as bowsprits. The distortion of an aromatic ring into a boat form rather than into a chair form is in keeping with force-constant calculations of Pulay, Fogarasi \& Boggs (1981). Our present observation of the boat form adopted by a benzene moiety corroborates previous results (Lenstra \& Petit, 1980; Van Havere, Lenstra \& Geise, 1982; Van Havere, Lenstra, Geise, Van den Berg \& Benschop, 1982) in sterically demanding situations created by substitutions on aromatic nuclei.

Finally, the planar carboxy group $\mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(12)$,$\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(3)$ is almost perpendicular to the 'plane' of the pyrimido ring.
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#### Abstract

M_{r}=286 \cdot 3\), orthorhombic, $P 22_{1} 2_{2}, \quad a=$ 8.314 (1) $, \quad b=21.169(3), \quad c=7.650(1) \AA, \quad U=$ 1346.4 (3) $\AA^{3}, D_{m}=1.41, D_{x}=1.41 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, Z=4$, $\lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=1.5418 \AA, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=1.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)$ $=608, T=298 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.038$ for 1552 observed reflexions. The torsion angle around the glucosidic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is $14.6(4)^{\circ}$. The bond angle at the glucosidic O atom is $117.8(2)^{\circ}$. The molecules are linked via hydrogen bonding to form strings along the twofold screw axes parallel to $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}$.


Introduction. It has been proposed that the orientation of glucosyl bonds in dihydroxycoumarin $\beta$-glucoside be classified into two characteristic conformations: 'inplane' and 'out-of-plane' with respect to the aromatic plane (Ueno, Shiraki, Sato \& Saito, 1985). The in-plane conformation is commonly observed in other $\beta$ glucosides and even in the aromatic $\alpha$-glucosides (Swaminathan, 1982). Although these structures result in considerable intramolecular repulsions, the repulsive energy is considered to be compensated by the
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resonance of $\pi$ electrons of the glucosidic O atoms with the aromatic ring. The out-of-plane conformation, on the other hand, has neither of the above factors and is observed in 8-glucosyloxy-7-hydroxycoumarin (Ueno, Saito \& Sato, 1978). Salicin [2-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl $\beta$-D-glucopyranosidel, which is usually obtained from the bark of poplar and willow and has been used as an analgesic, has a glucosidic linkage to an aromatic ring with a bulky substituent, a $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ group, at its ortho position. The present paper deals with the structure of salicin in order to examine further details of the conformation of glucosyl bonds.

Experimental. Prismatic crystals from aqueous ethanol solution. Crystal $0.26 \times 0.22 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm} . D_{m}$ by flotation in a mixture of dichloromethane and chloroform. Nicolet $P 3 / F$ automated four-circle diffractometer, graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. Unit-cell dimensions by least squares with $2 \theta$ values of 25 reflexions. Systematic absences $h 00, h$ odd, $0 k 0$, $k$ odd, $00 l, l$ odd. Intensity data $4^{\circ}<2 \theta<150^{\circ} . \omega / 2 \theta$
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